

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 BENCHMARK CONTRACTING, INC.,

Case No. 2:20-cv-01528-APG-DJA

5 Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

6 v.

7 NEVADA PARTNERS INC.,

8 Defendant.  
9

10 This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Extend Time to File Responsive  
11 Pleading (ECF No. 1), filed on August 18, 2020. Plaintiff filed a Response (ECF No. 6) on  
12 August 31, 2020. Defendant seeks to extend the time to file its responsive pleading until  
13 September 8, 2020. It indicated that attempts were made to work with Plaintiff's counsel to agree  
14 upon an extension, but they were unable to secure agreement and need the additional time in  
15 order to properly respond to the Complaint. Plaintiff opposes the extension request because it  
16 contends that the good cause standard is not met. It argues that Defendant only claimed it needs  
17 more time to locate documents and the standard 21 days response time should be sufficient to do  
18 so. The Court respects Fed.R.Civ.P. 1's directive to pursue the speedy resolution of litigation, but  
19 also balances the interest of trying cases on the merits. It finds that the good cause standard has  
20 been met in order to provide Defense counsel with sufficient time to prepare a responsive  
21 pleading. It does not find that the short extension until September 8, 2020 will unduly delay this  
22 litigation.

23 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that is Defendant's Motion to Extend Time to File  
24 Responsive Pleading (ECF No. 1) is **granted**.

25 DATED: September 8, 2020

26 

27 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE